

## VSP Public Comment

---

**From:** rhorn5@cox.net  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 25, 2005 11:17 AM  
**To:** Secretary of State, Constituent Affairs  
**Cc:** McDannold, Bruce  
**Subject:** Standards for AVVPAT

Should California reject the proposed Diebold system? Vote=Yes

As a resident of California for over 50 years I have voted in every election and consider voting to be a sacred right. I welcome new technology to aid in accurate counting of voting and keeping costs down for the state, cities and counties. However, these new methods of voting whether by touch-screen or other electronic methods must have safeguards so that the votes can be counted accurately and that they can not be altered or deleted. Diebold systems should not be allowed to be the state's provider to our voting machines. They have committed criminal acts in selling uncertified voting software to the state and are currently trying their best to make voting verification and counting to be difficult and frustrating. I believe that the "Citizen" proposed requirements should be implemented. I want our votes to be verifiable and recount "friendly" to preserve the voter's intent. And the proposed wireless and internet access for our voting machines is crazy since the software is!

so insecure and hacker "friendly." I do not trust Diebold.

Mr. Richard Horn  
3117 Estampida  
San Clemente, CA 92673

### Citizen Proposed Standards:

The AVVPAT shall be printed on single sheet non-thermal at least 16 pound paper, one record of vote per sheet.

Every recorded vote, no matter how recorded, shall have a AVVPAT copy.

The AVVPAT record of the vote shall be printed in a minimum of 12 point font.

The AVVPAT shall be printed and organized to be easily read by both the voter and election officials.

The AVVPAT during the 1% manual audit and any recount shall be physically verified and hand counted only.

The recorded vote choices on the AVVPAT shall not be audited or recounted by automatic or electronic methods.

There shall not be a method by which any particular voting record can be connected to any particular voter.

Any AVVPAT spoiled or rejected by a voter because of a voting system error shall not be counted as a spoiled ballot under the two spoiled ballots limit.

No remote access to voting machines by wireless or internet.